

WATCHMAN'S TEACHING LETTER

Monthly Letter #63; July, 2003 By: Teacher Clifton A. Emahiser
1012 N. Vine Street, Fostoria, Ohio 44830; Ph. (419)-435-2836


ISRAEL COVENANT TWO SEEDLINE RACIAL IDENTITY

AN ANGLO-ISAAC-SON CAUCASIAN CULTURE AWARENESS TEACHING LETTER

This is my sixty-third monthly teaching letter and continues my sixth year of publication. In lesson #60 we focused on the 4th chapter of Daniel. I have commented on this chapter several times before, but there is need to examine it in greater depth. To put the Babylonian stump-system into perspective which was to last "seven times" or 2520 years, I have devised the following graph to show how it has developed during that time. The average person on the street hasn't the slightest idea what it's all about, thinking everything is normal, while it is far from that. And they get 'mad' if informed otherwise.

TODAY'S GREATEST PROBLEMS

Although we have many problems to day, the four in the above diagram represent the greatest. In the very center is the issue of **RACE**. Then around the **RACIAL** issue revolve the **MONETARY**, the **POLITICAL** and the **RELIGIOUS**.



Once we can identify these four divisions of our dilemma, we can begin to rectify the status of our position. The above diagram is very much oversimplified. It needs to be broken down into smaller segments as follows: (1) Racial-monetary, (2) Racial-political, (3) Racial-religious, (4) Monetary-political, (5) Monetary-religious, and (6) Political-Religious.

Therefore, all four of the above divisions interact with each other. Should one try to combat any one of these six interacting divisions, one will find he must fight all six simultaneously. Not only that, but each of these six divisions have thousands of subdivisions like the tentacles of an octopus to entangle and destroy. To add to this sad-state-of-affairs, we are in a position where there is little we can do about it. Many have tried, but usually end up dead or in prison. Eric Rudolph, while commendable on some of his positions, on the other hand, after saving our White children from the "Jewish" abortion mills, would get them hooked on marijuana leading to harder drugs. Moreover, Eric put innocent White people at risk with his attacks. (That is, if he is actually guilty for the crimes with which he is charged.) Our Redeemer instructed us that we should not root up the tare-people to the detriment of the wheat-people. As a result of his actions, he has only given the Identity movement a black-eye. And I would add this: the anti-seedliners are doing more damage to destroy the Kingdom than the Eric Rudolphs. And if that shoe fits, wear it!

It's about time we start taking a long hard look at the predicament in which we find ourselves. Maybe this chart will help in that direction. I've noticed that many get hung-up in one area of the conspiracy and are unable to see the entire, overall picture. Once one finds that everything revolves around **RACE**, he has made the ultimate discovery. Additionally, when this fact is finally confirmed, one can determine the danger of "universalism", as it in turn leads to miscegenation (race-mixing).

Recently I have noticed the soft stand on race even among those who teach Two Seedline. They take Psalm 2:8 completely out of context, which says in the KJV: **"Ask of me, and I shall give thee the heathen for thine inheritance, and the uttermost parts of the earth for thy possession."** They fully overlook the following verse 9 which says: **"Thou shalt break them with a rod of iron; thou shalt dash them to pieces like a potter's vessel."**

Psalm 2:8-9 in the NRSV is a somewhat better translation: **"8 Ask of me, and I will make the nations your heritage, and the ends of the earth your possession. 9 You shall break them with a rod of iron, and dash them in pieces like a potter's vessel."**

Evidently, those in Identity who somehow think we are to receive the "heathen as a possession" envision themselves in the Kingdom in the setting of the southern plantation environment, sitting around socializing and eating steaks while having a whole bunch of non-whites attending their every need. This passage in no way implies such a thing. It simply states that we Israelites are to inherit all their lands. The following quote from the *Early Church Fathers*, Chapter XXXVI, makes that clear:

"Thou art my Son, today have I begotten Thee. Ask of Me, and I will give Thee the heathen for Thine inheritance, and the uttermost parts of the earth for Thy possession.' And again He saith to Him, 'Sit Thou at My right hand, until I make Thine enemies Thy footstool.' But who are His enemies? All the wicked, and those who set themselves to oppose the will of God."

From *The Bible Exposition Commentary* on Revelation 5:1 we read: **"The scroll represents Christ's 'title deed' to all that the Father promised Him because of His sacrifice on the cross."**

'Ask of Me, and I shall give Thee the heathen [nations] for Thine inheritance, and the uttermost parts of the earth for Thy possession' (Ps. 2:8). Jesus Christ is the 'Heir of all things' (Heb. 1:2). He is our beloved 'Kinsman-Redeemer' who was willing to give His life to set us free from bondage and to restore our lost inheritance (see Lev. 25:23-46; the Book of Ruth; Jer. 32:6-15)."

For a better example of what Psalm 2:8-9 means, let's consult *A Biblical Theology of the Old Testament* "The Preparation for Subsequent Revelation", page 96: **Another purpose of the book of Ruth was to connect the Davidic dynasty with the promises of the unconditional Abrahamic Covenant rather than with the conditional Mosaic Covenant. To accomplish this, the writer traced David's genealogy back to Perez, the son of Judah (Ruth 4:18). It was from Judah that a king for Israel would arise (Gen. 49:10). He would be the main channel of God's blessing to Israel ... The provision of this king was not conditioned on Israel's obedience to the Mosaic Covenant but was guaranteed on the basis of God's faithfulness to His promise to Judah. When David reigned, he functioned as a priest as well as a king (1 Chron. 15-17). He was able to do so because his right to rule was rooted in the Abrahamic Covenant rather than in the Mosaic Covenant. Had it been rooted in the Mosaic Covenant David could not have served as a priest since he was not a Levite. But because his right to rule went back to the Abrahamic Covenant, obviously antedating the Mosaic Covenant, he could serve as a priest. David functioned according to the order of Melchizedek, not the order of Aaron (cf. Pss. 2, 110). The book of Ruth then links David with the promises of a king that were given to the patriarchs and so prepares for the record of his reign that follows in 1 and 2 Samuel."**

It is becoming evident, there is much more to Psalm 2:8-9 than first meets the eye. Commentary after commentary associates this passage to the Second Advent of our Messiah. Once identifying who the primary personality is, who do you suppose the heathen [*nations*] are? They are none other than the twelve tribes of Israel. After being divorced from the Almighty, they became "not a people", and thus equal to non-Israelites. Anyone who unwittingly presumes that we are to inherit a bunch of non-whites as our inheritance simply doesn't understand the basic racial thrust of Scripture! Those daffy ideas would be comical if they weren't so serious! To show you this is the proper context of this passage, I will quote from *The Nicene and Post-Nicene Fathers*, Vol. III:

"I do not know in what manner these men understand that the Ancient of Days appeared to Daniel, from whom the Son of man, which He deigned to be for our sakes, is understood to have received the kingdom; namely, from Him who says to Him in the Psalms, 'Thou art my Son; this day have I begotten Thee; ask of me, and I shall give Thee the heathen [*nations*] for Thine inheritance;' and who has 'put all things under His feet.' If, however, both the Father giving the kingdom, and the Son receiving it, appeared to Daniel in bodily form, how can those men say that the Father never appeared to the prophets, and, therefore, that He only ought to be understood to be invisible whom no man has seen, nor can see? For Daniel has told us thus: 'I beheld,' he says, 'till the thrones were set, and the Ancient of Days did sit, whose garment was white as snow, and the hair of His head like the pure wool: His throne was like the fiery flame, and His wheels as burning fire; a fiery stream issued and came forth from before Him: thousand thousands ministered unto Him, and ten thousand times ten thousand stood before Him: the judgment was set, and the books were opened,' etc. And a little after, 'I saw,' he says, 'in the night visions, and behold, one like the Son of man came

with the clouds of heaven, and came to the Ancient of Days, and they brought Him near before Him. And there was given Him dominion, and glory, and a kingdom, that all [Israel] peoples, [Israel] nations, and [Israel] languages should serve Him: His dominion is an everlasting dominion, which shall not pass away, and His kingdom that which shall not be destroyed.”

If we can't rightly divide racial passages such as this one, we are in serious trouble. This is but a sample of the preposterous teachings floating around in the Identity movement! For those who are under the erroneous delusion that we are to inherit the other races, need to go back and take another look at the graph on the first page. In that chart, you will notice that around race, it is divided into three parts. I would refer you to Revelation 16:19 which says: “And the great city was divided into three parts, and the cities of the nations fell: and great Babylon came in remembrance before God, to give unto her the cup of the wine of the fierceness of his wrath.”

While wanting somewhat, *The Bible Exposition Commentary* says the following: **“Babylon is fallen!” ... This proclamation anticipates the events of Revelation 18 (see also Rev. 16:18–19). We will consider it in detail then. ‘Babylon’ is God’s name for the world system of ‘the beast,’ the entire economic and political organization by which he rules. ‘The harlot’ (Rev. 17) is the religious system that ‘the beast’ uses to help build his organization.”** The next time someone quotes Psalm 2:8 out of context to you in an attempt to support the idea that the other races will have a place in the Kingdom, let them know very quickly that they are quite confused on Scripture. Let's read that passage again and include verse 7:

“7 I will declare the decree: the LORD hath said unto me, Thou art my Son; this day have I begotten thee. 8 Ask of me, and I shall give thee the heathen for thine inheritance, and the uttermost parts of the earth for thy possession. 9 Thou shalt break them with a rod of iron; thou shalt dash them in pieces like a potter’s vessel.” The context is: the “Son” is Yahshua, and “the heathen” (rather “nations”), represent all of true Israel, and the “them” of verse 9 refers to the enemies of Israel or (verses 1-5) which we will now read:

“1 Why do the heathen rage, and the people imagine a vain thing? 2 The kings of the earth set themselves, and the rulers take counsel together, against the LORD, and against his anointed, saying, 3 Let us break their bands asunder, and cast away their cords from us. 4 He that sitteth in the heavens shall laugh: the Lord shall have them in derision. 5 Then shall he speak unto them in his wrath, and vex them in his sore displeasure.”

While the word “heathen” at verse 1 is the same *Strong’s* #1471 as at verse 8, the context is not the same. *Gesenius’* says this: **“... The word is general, and used of the nations at large, and also (which should not have been doubted by some interpreters) of the Israelites, e.g. Isaiah 1:4; 9:2; 26:2 49:7 Gen. 35:11; 12:2 Psal. 33:12 ...”**

Now we have to deal with verse 9 which is speaking of the “heathen” as we know them, or the other races that “rage”: **“Thou shalt break them with a rod of iron; thou shalt dash them in pieces like a potter’s vessel.”** Once we understand the impetus of verse 9, let's consider Matthew 15:13 which says: **“But he answered and said, Every plant, which my heavenly Father hath not planted, shall be rooted up.”** From this passage, it is quite evident there are people living on this earth that the Almighty never planted [in other words, created]. This may come as a shock to some of you! But let's take up this subject of “thou shalt dash them in pieces like a potter’s vessel.” I will now

quote from *Matthew Henry's Commentary on the Whole Bible* on Isaiah 30:8-17 [Isaiah 30:8]: **"First, A surprising ruin: The breaking shall come suddenly, at an instant, when they do not expect it, which will make it the more frightful, and when they are not prepared or provided for it, which will make it the more fatal. Secondly, An utter ruin, universal and irreparable: 'You and all your confidences shall be not only weak as the potter's clay (ch. 29:16), but broken to pieces as the potter's vessel. He that has the rod of iron shall break it (Ps. 2:9) and he shall not spare, shall not have any regard to it, nor be in care to preserve or keep whole any part of it. But, when once it is broken so as to be unfit for use, let it be dashed, let it be crushed, all to pieces, so that there may not remain one sherd big enough to take up a little fire or water' — two things we have daily need of, and which poor people commonly fetch in a piece of a broken pitcher. They shall not only be as a bowing wall (Ps. 62:3), but as a broken mug or glass, which is good for nothing, nor can ever be made whole again."**

This matter about ruling "with a rod of iron" has two aspects: (1) Yahshua will rule the twelve tribes of Israel in that manner, and (2) He will, with His iron rod, destroy all of Israel's enemies! In a publication by W. W. Wiersbe, 1996, *Be Decisive, An Old Testament Study*, he says the following about Jeremiah, the persecuted prophet (Jer. 19:1–20:6):

"In the Near East in that day, kings and generals often smashed clay jars in a special ceremony before they went out to battle, symbolic of their total defeat of their enemies. This image is also used of the Messiah in Psalm 2:9: 'You shall break them [the enemy nations] with a rod of iron; You shall dash them in pieces like a potter's vessel.'"

The idea that somehow we are to receive the "heathen" [other races] as our inheritance is simply preposterous! Not only are we **NOT** going to receive such a compensation, but they are to be destroyed forever. It is their land we will acquire, not them! After all the White people have been resurrected, (and they only will be so), we will need the entire earth for ourselves.

The *Nicene and Post-Nicene Fathers*, vol. VIII, Augustin Expositions on the Book of Psalms: **"For that rod is 'a rod of iron;' an inflexible rod. 'Thou shalt rule them with a rod of iron: and break them in pieces like a potter's vessel.' Some He rules; others He 'breaks in pieces.'"**

The Jamieson, R., Fausset, A. R., & Brown, D. 1997. *A Commentary, Critical and Explanatory, on the Old and New Testaments* (Ps 2:9-10): **"His enemies shall be subject to His terrible power (Job 4:9; 2 Th 2:8), as His people to His grace (Ps 110:2, 3). rod of iron — denotes severity (Rev 2:27). a potter's vessel — when shivered cannot be mended, which will describe utter destruction."**

The *Nicene and Post-Nicene Fathers*, vol. VIII, Augustin: Expositions on the Book of Psalms: **"He ruleth us, being our King, lest we fall. But by ruling us He doth not break us; for whom He ruleth not, He breaketh. 'Thou shalt rule them,' saith He, 'with a rod of iron, and dash them in pieces like a potter's vessel.' But there are whom He ruleth not; these He spareth not, as a potter's vessel dashing them in pieces."**

Wiersbe's Expository Outlines on the New Testament (Rev. 19:1): **"He is no longer on a humble donkey, but on a fiery white charger. His eyes are not filled with tears as when He beheld Jerusalem; nor is He wearing a mocking crown of thorns. Instead of being stripped by His enemies, He wears a garment dipped in blood, signifying judgment and victory. When on earth, He was abandoned by His followers; but here the armies of heaven follow Him in conquest. His mouth does not speak 'words of grace' (Luke 4:22), but rather the Word of**

victory and justice. See Isa. 11:4. He comes to rule with a rod of iron (Ps. 2). He comes not to bear the wrath of God on the cross, but to tread the winepress of God's wrath at the Battle of Armageddon. He is King of Kings and Lord of Lords!"

Jamieson, R., Fausset, A. R., & Brown, D. 1997. *A Commentary, Critical and Explanatory, on the Old and New Testaments*: "HENGSTENBERG similarly thinks that Matthew names *Jeremiah*, rather than *Zechariah*, to turn attention to the fact that Zechariah's prophecy is but a reiteration of the fearful oracle in Jer. 18:1–19:15, to be fulfilled in the destruction of the Jewish nation. Jeremiah had already, by the image of a potter's vessel, portrayed their ruin in Nebuchadnezzar's invasion; and as Zechariah virtually repeats this threat, to be inflicted again under Messiah for the nation's rejection of Him, Matthew, virtually, by mentioning *Jeremiah*, implies that the 'field of blood' (Mt 27:8, 9), now bought by 'the reward of iniquity' (Acts 1:18) in the valley of Hinnom, was long ago a scene of prophetic doom in which awful disaster had been symbolically predicted: that the present purchase of that field with the traitor's price renewed the prophecy and revived the curse."

Nicene and Post-Nicene Fathers, Volume IV, Disputation of the First Day: "But it is plain in the things themselves that there is no similarity between darkness and light, truth and falsehood, death and life, soul and body, and other similar things which differ from each other both in names and appearances. And for good reason did our Lord say: 'The tree which my heavenly Father has not planted shall be rooted up and cast into the fire, because it brings not forth good fruit:' ... Hence truly it follows from the reason of things that there are two substances in this world which agree in forms and in names, of which one belongs to corporeal natures, but the other is the eternal substance of the omnipotent Father, which we believe to be God's substance."

The Ante-Nicene Fathers, Vol. I, Chapter XVI, Translations of the writings of the Fathers down to A.D. 325. The apostolic fathers with Justin Martyr and Irenaeus: "For many shall come in My name, clothed outwardly in sheep's clothing, but inwardly being ravening wolves. By their works ye shall know them. And every tree that bringeth not forth good fruit, is hewn down and cast into the fire.' And as to those who are not living pursuant to these His teachings, and are Christians only in name, we demand that all such be punished by you."

Ante-Nicene Fathers, volume I, Chapter XXXVI: "Since the Son of God is always one and the same, He gives to those who believe on Him a well of water [springing up] to eternal life, but He causes the unfruitful fig-tree immediately to dry up; and in the days of Noah He justly brought on the deluge for the purpose of extinguishing that most infamous race of men then existent, who could not bring forth fruit to God, since the angels that sinned had commingled with them, and [acted as He did] in order that He might put a check upon the sins of these men, but [that at the same time] He might preserve the archetype, the formation of Adam. And it was He who rained fire and brimstone from heaven, in the days of Lot, upon Sodom and Gomorrah, 'an example of the righteous judgment of God,' that all may know, 'that every tree that bringeth not forth good fruit shall be cut down, and cast into the fire.'"

The Ante-Nicene Fathers, volume VIII, section IV. — *The Testament of Judah* Concerning Fortitude, and Love of Money, and Fornication: "And after these things shall Abraham and Isaac and Jacob arise unto life, and I [Judah] and my brethren will be chiefs, even your sceptre in Israel: Levi first, I the second, Joseph third, Benjamin fourth, Simeon fifth, Issachar sixth, and

so all in order. And the Lord blessed Levi; the Angel of the Presence, me; the powers of glory, Simeon; the heaven, Reuben; the earth, Issachar; the sea, Zebulun; the mountains, Joseph; the tabernacle, Benjamin; the lights of heaven, Dan; the fatness of earth, Naphtali; the sun, Gad; the olive, Asher: and there shall be one people of the Lord, and one tongue; and there shall no more be a spirit of deceit of Beliar, for he shall be cast into the fire for ever."

This is simply amazing, for with this last quotation, we have one of the Ante-Nicene Fathers quoting from the *Testament of Judah*. We are told by many that these lost books were never "canonized", and therefore we should pay no attention to them! Oh, I am sure there are a minor few "lost books" we should be careful with that cannot be trusted! Some in Identity don't like the "lost books" because they teach and prove Two Seedline, like *Testament of Judah* speaking of "Beliar" in the passage above! Some of these same anti-seedliners, though, quote from the same Ante-Nicene Fathers, and yet, on the other hand, claim we shouldn't quote from the same books that the Ante-Nicene Fathers cite. Talk about a false-hearted, double-dealing, double-standard. It can't get any more two-faced than that! They continue to expand their bizarre allegation that the doctrine of Two Seedline can be found in the "Jew's" Talmud, and try to insinuate that anything found from that source should be discredited. Amazingly, the Torah and the rest of Old Testament is the first volume of the Talmud. If we follow and accept their perverted line-of-reasoning, we would have to throw away the entire Old Testament from our Bibles. I will tell many of you who have been following such deceitful, double-dealing false-teachers, it is well past time to question every thing they promote. **Start doing it yesterday!** Why don't you write or call them and ask them about the entire Old Testament being the Talmud! I'd like to hear some of their double-talk trying to explain that one! And if they don't respond to your question, you will become keenly aware that they don't have an answer! As any good legal representative might advise you, "put their feet to the fire."

WERE THE NON-WHITE RACES CREATED?

Many years ago, when I used to be under the influence of the fundamental churches, I believed that the Almighty created everything, and that Satan could create nothing. I still hold to that belief to some extent. What I didn't realize back then is the established fact that Satan has the ability to corrupt that which Yahweh has already created. It's happening today, and it's called "genetic engineering." If you haven't been following what they have been doing in that field, you need to catch-up on the latest. They are now injecting oyster DNA (an unclean crustacean) into corn, and human DNA into swine. Thus, if you're eating pork, you're committing a form of cannibalism. This is only part of the story. My point is, if the satanic forces of today can engineer genetics now, there is no reason they couldn't have done it from the very beginning.

There has been a theory floating around Identity circles for years that the non-white races were created on the sixth day in the Genesis story, and that Adam was formed on the eighth day. My Bible only records seven "days" of creation, and they couldn't have been 24 hour days, as the sun wasn't created until the fourth day (Genesis 1:16-19). No place do I find an eighth day of creation mentioned! After I learned the Identity Truth, I read all the various opinions on the matter. About 18 years ago, while watching television, I happened on a station where some blacks were beating out their jungle music, and the lighting was such to cast their shadows on a wall in back of them. The

shadow of one of the women was exactly like a small gorilla. That left me with an enduring impression that I couldn't forget. Like so many things in this message, I put it on the back burner until the issue could be resolved.

Then, as I was in the process of preparing lesson #52 for August, 2002 on June 26, 2002, there was a special news segment on the Fox News channel at 9:50 A.M. concerning a topic which they dubbed "Wonder Boy." I put this information in that *Watchman's Teaching Letter*, but I will repeat it here. It was a segment about a boy from Kazakhstan in Russia, a region in central Asia, NE of the Caspian Sea, west of China. If you happened to catch that short portion of news, you can verify what I am about to relate. In my opinion, "Wonder Boy" is an excellent exhibit of a throwback of certain men to the animal stage. In fact the doctor, which the commentator was interviewing, called people like him "monkey men." Further, they used the term "genetic mutation" in describing him. Asked if he would grow out of this condition, the doctor indicated the condition would "persist" throughout adulthood. He mentioned using hair laser treatment to get rid of the excessive amount of hair. The doctor also mentioned there were other examples like him in Mexico; citing a father and son in that category. The best description I could give of what I observed of "Wonder Boy" is that he appeared to be a cross between a Mongolian male and a chimpanzee ape, or possibly a capuchin monkey. Upon looking up pictures of monkeys, apes and gorillas in my *Collier's Encyclopedia*, the facial features of the blacks appear to favor that of the gorilla.

I had heard stories that some of the Japanese prisoners captured during World War II actually had spurs of tails. When I saw that "Wonder Boy", although his rear wasn't exposed, my impression was that he was a throwback to the original genetic engineering of Satan and his fallen angels. If you have read all the proceeding, then you will remember that the Ante-Nicene Fathers also understood that the fallen angels **"commingled"** and formed **"that most infamous race."** When I observed that "Wonder Boy", I became convinced that the other races were never created, but are simply DNA genetic mutations. As a result of my two observations from television, I no longer subscribe to the sixth and eighth day creation theory.

I am now in the process of studying this subject from Scripture, and I am sure I can demonstrate that Adam was the only man Yahweh ever created (formed as it says in Genesis 2). I can show you only a small amount of my research in this short space. The sixth and eighth day creation advocates try to make a case that in Genesis 1 the word "creation" is used as opposed to the word "formed" in chapter 2. By this they assert that Adam was "formed", not "created." But yet if one will go to Genesis 5:2, (Adam's genealogy) it states that Adam was created thus: **"Male and female created he them; and blessed them, and called their name Adam, in the day when they were created."** The word "created" in both Genesis 1:27 and 5:2 are the same Hebrew word #1254 in *Strong's*. So their whole premise is faulty, as both Adam and Eve were indeed "created." Some go so far as claiming that Yahweh created two White races, which Scripture does not support. Some will try to assert that because Genesis 1:26-27 uses the word "man" instead of "Adam", it was two separate actions, and that the "creation" of man in Genesis 1:27 was the creation of the non-Adamic races. There's one huge problem with that theory, for in both 1:27 and 2:7 the Hebrew article *ha* is used (*the* Adam). Also, both passages use the *Strong's* #120, which is the word for Adam-man. The implication being that if Yahweh didn't create the other races, He didn't say, in their case, "everything after his kind, and ... *it was good.*"

Probably one of the most absurd inferences, if Genesis 1:26-27 is the record of the creation of the other races, is the commission (office) that Yahweh gave them in Genesis 1:28 which reads: "And God blessed them, and God said unto them, Be fruitful, and multiply, and replenish the earth, and subdue it: and have dominion over the fish of the sea, and over the fowl of the air, and over every living thing that moveth upon the earth." The other races have never fulfilled such a task as this, and never will. If that's the true context of this passage, then the other races should "subdue" and "have dominion over" us. Well, surely it isn't because they aren't trying to accomplish such a thing! Go back and reread Psalm 2:2-3 again if you don't think so!

It is only common sense and logic, then, if the Almighty continually said to have nothing to do with the other races, **period**, why did He "create" them and stick them with us? Or better stated, why did He "create" them that we might get stuck with them? There are two kind of "beasts", talking and dumb!

In the next lesson, we're going to investigate who's Adam and who's not, and who's Israel and who's not.